



Cardus Project Summary

submitted by Nanos, February 2015 (Submission 2015-652)

73% are concerned or somewhat concerned they would not get comfort and support they would hope to receive if they or a loved faced a life threatening experience or was nearing death

Overall, most Canadians think they are prepared (39%) or somewhat prepared (39%) to make health care decisions if themselves or a loved one faced a life threatening illness or was near death. Although they are personally prepared, 28% are concerned and 45% are somewhat concerned that they would not receive the comfort and support they would hope to receive if they or a loved faced such an experience.

When it comes to making decisions about appropriate care in the case of a life threatening illness, the most important thing for Canadians is that the health care decisions are made in a manner that respects the wishes of a patient and their family (83% important and 16% somewhat important). Although still important, there is a relatively less importance attached to:

- decisions being made in a manner which respects the advice of health care providers (53% important and 40% somewhat important).
- decisions being made in a manner which respects your personal faith (32% important and 27% somewhat important).
- decisions being made in a manner which respects your family's culture (29% important and 33% somewhat important).

Just 33% of the public thinks that Canadians have the right amount of personal control over health decisions when one is nearing death. A majority (54%) thinks that there is not enough control.

When it comes to the performance of the health care system in finding end-of-life care solutions from groups in society, 20% think the performance is very good or good when it comes to faith groups in one's community. While few think the performance is very poor (4%) or poor (9%), 42% are not sure. Performance is similar when it comes to finding end-of-life care solutions from local community groups (19% very good or good) and lower for cultural groups in one's community (15% very good or good).


There are a number of fundamental characteristics of Canada that the public could see as important for Canada. Of these, being a place where people have access to universal public health care (32%) is the most likely to be ranked first. A place where there is a Charter of Rights (28%) is the next most mentioned as the top priority.



*Executive
Summary*

National Priority

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.



Subgroups	Canada as a country where people have access to universal public healthcare
Atlantic (n=100)	36.4%
Quebec (n=250)	34.1%
Ontario (n=300)	31.4%
Prairies (n=200)	33.2%
British Columbia (n=150)	24.0%
Male (n=496)	27.3%
Female (n=503)	36.2%
18 to 29 (n=205)	34.5%
30 to 39 (n=169)	31.5%
40 to 49 (n=208)	33.5%
50 to 59 (n=178)	33.7%
60 plus (n=239)	26.8%
Allophones (n=181)	33.6%


***Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding**

QUESTION – What is the first and the second most important possible national priority for you personally. [RANDOMIZE] [FIRST RANKED]

Health Care Decisions

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
+56.7



Subgroups	Prepared/ Somewhat prepared
Atlantic (n=100)	80.4%
Quebec (n=250)	73.9%
Ontario (n=300)	76.5%
Prairies (n=200)	83.0%
British Columbia (n=150)	79.7%
Male (n=496)	76.3%
Female (n=503)	79.7%
18 to 29 (n=205)	64.0%
30 to 39 (n=169)	71.0%
40 to 49 (n=208)	75.5%
50 to 59 (n=178)	85.8%
60 plus (n=239)	91.2%
Allophones (n=181)	69.1%


***Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding**

QUESTION – Would you say that you are prepared, somewhat prepared, somewhat not prepared or not prepared to make health care decisions if you or a loved one faced a life-threatening illness or was near death?

Health Care Concerns

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
+48.3



Subgroups	Concerned / Somewhat concerned
Atlantic (n=100)	67.2%
Quebec (n=250)	76.7%
Ontario (n=300)	76.1%
Prairies (n=200)	73.2%
British Columbia (n=150)	68.4%
Male (n=496)	73.4%
Female (n=503)	73.8%
18 to 29 (n=205)	74.5%
30 to 39 (n=169)	76.3%
40 to 49 (n=208)	73.1%
50 to 59 (n=178)	73.4%
60 plus (n=239)	71.6%
Allophones (n=181)	78.6%


***Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding**

QUESTION – If you or a loved one had a life threatening illness and faced near death, would you be concerned, somewhat concerned, somewhat not concerned or not concerned that you would not receive the comfort and support you would hope to receive through Canada’s health care system.

Importance of Respecting Your Wishes

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
+97.4



*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

*Note: No respondents answered unimportant

Subgroups	Important / Somewhat important
Atlantic (n=100)	99.4%
Quebec (n=250)	98.3%
Ontario (n=300)	99.2%
Prairies (n=200)	99.1%
British Columbia (n=150)	96.3%
Male (n=496)	97.8%
Female (n=503)	99.3%
18 to 29 (n=205)	97.3%
30 to 39 (n=169)	98.3%
40 to 49 (n=208)	99.0%
50 to 59 (n=178)	99.6%
60 plus (n=239)	98.5%
Allophones (n=181)	99.0%


QUESTION – Would you say the following are important, somewhat important, somewhat unimportant or unimportant to you in making decisions about appropriate care if you or a loved one had a life threatening illness.

Health care decisions being made in a manner which respects the wishes of you and your family

Importance of Respecting Your Personal Faith

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
+19.8



***Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding**

QUESTION – Would you say the following are important, somewhat important, somewhat unimportant or unimportant to you in making decisions about appropriate care if you or a loved one had a life threatening illness.


Health care decisions being made in a manner which respects your personal faith

Subgroups	Important / Somewhat important
Atlantic (n=100)	55.5%
Quebec (n=250)	53.7%
Ontario (n=300)	61.3%
Prairies (n=200)	64.7%
British Columbia (n=150)	60.5%
Male (n=496)	54.7%
Female (n=503)	63.9%
18 to 29 (n=205)	57.2%
30 to 39 (n=169)	58.0%
40 to 49 (n=208)	65.5%
50 to 59 (n=178)	55.5%
60 plus (n=239)	59.6%
Allophones (n=181)	55.6%

Importance of Respecting the Advice of Health Care Providers

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
+85.5



***Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding**

Subgroups	Important / Somewhat important
Atlantic (n=100)	94.4%
Quebec (n=250)	93.8%
Ontario (n=300)	91.8%
Prairies (n=200)	91.1%
British Columbia (n=150)	91.7%
Male (n=496)	93.1%
Female (n=503)	91.7%
18 to 29 (n=205)	92.6%
30 to 39 (n=169)	94.3%
40 to 49 (n=208)	90.0%
50 to 59 (n=178)	91.3%
60 plus (n=239)	93.7%
Allophones (n=181)	91.0%


QUESTION – Would you say the following are important, somewhat important, somewhat unimportant or unimportant to you in making decisions about appropriate care if you or a loved one had a life threatening illness.

Health care decisions being made in a manner which respects the advice of health care providers

Importance of Respecting Your Family's Culture

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
+26.2



***Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding**

Subgroups	Important / Somewhat important
Atlantic (n=100)	57.0%
Quebec (n=250)	60.7%
Ontario (n=300)	61.0%
Prairies (n=200)	63.7%
British Columbia (n=150)	69.8%
Male (n=496)	60.3%
Female (n=503)	64.5%
18 to 29 (n=205)	59.4%
30 to 39 (n=169)	63.8%
40 to 49 (n=208)	64.2%
50 to 59 (n=178)	64.9%
60 plus (n=239)	60.5%
Allophones (n=181)	72.0%


QUESTION – Would you say the following are important, somewhat important, somewhat unimportant or unimportant to you in making decisions about appropriate care if you or a loved one had a life threatening illness.

Health care decisions being made in a manner which respects your family's culture

Controlling Health Care Decisions

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
-53.2



Subgroups	Not enough
Atlantic (n=100)	54.4%
Quebec (n=250)	52.7%
Ontario (n=300)	50.3%
Prairies (n=200)	57.1%
British Columbia (n=150)	61.9%
Male (n=496)	51.8%
Female (n=503)	57.0%
18 to 29 (n=205)	49.0%
30 to 39 (n=169)	50.2%
40 to 49 (n=208)	56.5%
50 to 59 (n=178)	60.3%
60 plus (n=239)	55.9%
Allophones (n=181)	50.1%


***Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding**

QUESTION – Do you think Canadians have too much, the right amount, or not enough personal control over health decisions when one is nearing death?

End-of-life Solutions From Cultural Groups

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
-3.0



Subgroups	Very good / Good
Atlantic (n=100)	15.9%
Quebec (n=250)	17.3%
Ontario (n=300)	11.6%
Prairies (n=200)	15.5%
British Columbia (n=150)	13.1%
Male (n=496)	14.3%
Female (n=503)	14.6%
18 to 29 (n=205)	10.2%
30 to 39 (n=169)	12.0%
40 to 49 (n=208)	18.1%
50 to 59 (n=178)	12.4%
60 plus (n=239)	18.4%
Allophones (n=181)	9.9%

***Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding**


QUESTION – Do you think Canada’s health care system does a very good, good, average, poor or very poor job at finding end-of-life care solutions from the following possible players:

Cultural groups in one’s community

End-of-life Solutions From Faith Groups

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
+7.2



*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

Subgroups	Very good / Good
Atlantic (n=100)	21.2%
Quebec (n=250)	18.0%
Ontario (n=300)	20.4%
Prairies (n=200)	22.3%
British Columbia (n=150)	17.4%
Male (n=496)	20.4%
Female (n=503)	19.2%
18 to 29 (n=205)	15.4%
30 to 39 (n=169)	21.3%
40 to 49 (n=208)	21.1%
50 to 59 (n=178)	15.6%
60 plus (n=239)	24.4%
Allophones (n=181)	19.4%


QUESTION – Do you think Canada’s health care system does a very good, good, average, poor or very poor job at finding end-of-life care solutions from the following possible players:

Faith groups in one’s community

End-of-life Solutions From Community Groups

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February 22nd to 27th, 2015, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Net Score
+4.7



*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

Subgroups	Very good / Good
Atlantic (n=100)	18.8%
Quebec (n=250)	21.8%
Ontario (n=300)	17.5%
Prairies (n=200)	13.9%
British Columbia (n=150)	21.4%
Male (n=496)	17.8%
Female (n=503)	19.4%
18 to 29 (n=205)	13.3%
30 to 39 (n=169)	16.6%
40 to 49 (n=208)	22.4%
50 to 59 (n=178)	17.6%
60 plus (n=239)	21.9%
Allophones (n=181)	16.7%

QUESTION – Do you think Canada’s health care system does a very good, good, average, poor or very poor job at finding end-of-life care solutions from the following possible players:

Local community groups



Methodology

Methodology

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd and 27th, 2015 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

The research was commissioned by Cardus.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



About Nanos

Nanos is one of North America's most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.



View our brochure

Nik Nanos FMRIA

Chairman, Nanos Research Group
Ottawa (613) 234-4666 ext. 237
Washington DC (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

Richard Jenkins

Vice President, Nanos Research
Ottawa (613) 234-4666 ext. 230
rjenkins@nanosresearch.com





Tabulations



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

Question 1 (first ranked response) - What is the first and the second most important possible national priority for you personally [RANDOMIZE]

		Total	Canada's role in the world as an honest broker in international affairs	Canada as a country that respects people from diverse cultures	Canada as a country where people have access to universal public healthcare	Canada as a country that balances prosperity with stewardship of the environment	Canada as a place with a Charter of Rights and Freedoms that protects everyone's rights	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	9.3	4.7	31.8	21.1	27.7	5.4
	Atlantic Canada	100	8.2	7.2	36.4	18.6	22.5	7.2
	Quebec	250	9.8	4.1	34.1	19.1	26.2	6.8
	Ontario	300	9.6	6.2	31.4	18.1	29.4	5.4
	Prairies	200	8.9	2.3	33.2	26.3	25.0	4.4
	British Columbia	150	9.0	4.6	24.0	25.2	34.2	2.9
Gender	Male	496	11.3	4.4	27.3	20.6	30.4	5.9
	Female	503	7.2	5.1	36.2	21.7	25.0	4.8
Age	18 to 29	205	8.1	5.5	34.5	21.8	27.8	2.3
	30 to 39	169	11.6	3.6	31.5	20.1	28.8	4.4
	40 to 49	208	6.7	5.2	33.5	23.3	26.1	5.1
	50 to 59	178	7.2	3.8	33.7	23.8	25.8	5.8
	60 plus	239	12.4	5.2	26.8	17.3	29.7	8.5
Language	Official languages only	802	9.1	3.6	31.6	22.5	27.5	5.8
	Allophone	181	9.9	8.9	33.6	14.8	29.6	3.3
	Refusal	16	9.5	16.8	24.1	25.9	18.8	5.0

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

Question 1 (second ranked response) - What is the first and the second most important possible national priority for you personally

		Total	Canada's role in the world as an honest broker in international affairs	Canada as a country that respects people from diverse cultures	Canada as a country where people have access to universal public healthcare	Canada as a country that balances prosperity with stewardship of the environment	Canada as a place with a Charter of Rights and Freedoms that protects everyone's rights	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	946	13.6	9.4	24.2	21.8	21.9	9.0
	Atlantic Canada	93	9.0	8.7	22.6	28.5	21.7	9.4
	Quebec	233	11.4	9.4	22.6	24.4	24.5	7.6
	Ontario	284	15.0	8.5	26.9	16.6	23.5	9.5
	Prairies	191	18.5	8.2	22.4	20.9	20.9	9.0
	British Columbia	145	10.6	12.9	25.2	24.7	16.2	10.3
Gender	Male	467	15.0	9.7	24.7	22.3	19.1	9.3
	Female	479	12.2	9.1	23.8	21.4	24.8	8.8
Age	18 to 29	201	8.3	5.3	22.1	26.4	24.4	13.4
	30 to 39	162	13.7	11.0	26.7	21.7	20.0	6.9
	40 to 49	198	12.3	10.7	23.0	18.9	23.7	11.5
	50 to 59	168	16.3	10.1	21.8	22.2	24.1	5.7
	60 plus	218	17.5	10.2	27.5	20.1	17.9	6.9
Language	Official languages only	756	14.8	9.2	24.7	21.4	21.1	8.7
	Allophone	175	7.5	9.8	22.1	25.3	25.1	10.2
	Refusal	15	20.6	15.5	24.8	.0	28.8	10.3

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

www.nanosresearch.com



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

Question 2 - Our next few questions are about health care and palliative care in Canada. Palliative care is an approach to care for people who are living with a life-threatening illness, no matter how old they are. The focus of care is on achieving comfort and ensuring respect for the person nearing death and maximizing quality of life for the patient, family and loved ones. Would you say that you are prepared, somewhat prepared, somewhat not prepared or not prepared to make health care decisions if you or a loved one faced a life-threatening illness or was near death?

		Total	Prepared	Somewhat prepared	Somewhat not prepared	Not prepared	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	39.2	38.8	13.0	8.3	.8
	Atlantic Canada	100	38.3	42.1	13.7	5.9	.0
	Quebec	250	37.2	36.7	13.7	11.5	.9
	Ontario	300	38.1	38.4	15.8	7.2	.6
	Prairies	200	44.4	38.6	10.9	6.2	.0
	British Columbia	150	38.4	41.3	8.3	9.6	2.5
Gender	Male	496	36.8	39.5	15.4	7.4	.9
	Female	503	41.6	38.1	10.5	9.2	.7
Age	18 to 29	205	30.8	33.2	17.8	16.3	1.9
	30 to 39	169	28.6	42.4	15.8	12.2	1.0
	40 to 49	208	38.4	37.1	16.3	8.1	.0
	50 to 59	178	50.1	35.7	8.4	5.0	.9
	60 plus	239	46.4	44.8	7.3	1.3	.3
Language	Official languages only	802	41.0	38.8	11.8	7.6	.8
	Allophone	181	32.8	36.3	18.3	11.8	.8
	Refusal	16	21.4	69.7	8.9	.0	.0

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

Question 3 - If you or a loved one had a life threatening illness and faced near death, would you be concerned, somewhat concerned, somewhat not concerned or not concerned that you would not receive the comfort and support you would hope to receive through Canada's health care system.

		Total	Concerned	Somewhat concerned	Somewhat not concerned	Not concerned	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	28.2	45.4	14.5	10.8	1.0
	Atlantic Canada	100	17.4	49.8	20.3	11.2	1.3
	Quebec	250	33.4	43.3	14.1	8.4	.8
	Ontario	300	26.0	50.1	11.2	11.9	.7
	Prairies	200	32.7	40.5	14.0	12.3	.5
	British Columbia	150	25.2	43.2	18.9	10.2	2.5
Gender	Male	496	26.6	46.8	16.2	9.6	.7
	Female	503	29.7	44.1	12.9	12.0	1.4
Age	18 to 29	205	26.7	47.8	17.4	6.3	1.7
	30 to 39	169	22.3	54.0	12.1	10.7	1.0
	40 to 49	208	33.3	39.8	12.3	13.1	1.5
	50 to 59	178	31.3	42.1	14.6	11.2	.8
	60 plus	239	26.9	44.7	15.7	12.5	.3
Language	Official languages only	802	28.1	44.2	15.4	11.5	.8
	Allophone	181	27.9	50.7	10.6	8.7	2.1
	Refusal	16	34.9	48.9	16.3	.0	.0

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

		Question 4 - Health care decisions being made in a manner which respects the wishes of you and your family				
		Total	Important	Somewhat important	Somewhat unimportant	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	82.7	15.9	1.2	.2
	Atlantic Canada	100	82.6	16.8	.6	.0
	Quebec	250	83.1	15.2	1.7	.0
	Ontario	300	83.1	16.1	.8	.0
	Prairies	200	80.9	18.2	.4	.5
	British Columbia	150	83.5	12.8	2.9	.8
Gender	Male	496	81.6	16.2	2.0	.2
	Female	503	83.8	15.5	.5	.2
Age	18 to 29	205	73.0	24.3	2.7	.0
	30 to 39	169	81.6	16.7	1.0	.7
	40 to 49	208	85.9	13.1	.5	.5
	50 to 59	178	88.7	10.9	.3	.0
	60 plus	239	84.4	14.1	1.5	.0
Language	Official languages only	802	83.1	15.3	1.4	.1
	Allophone	181	81.0	18.0	.4	.6
	Refusal	16	80.7	19.3	.0	.0

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

		Question 5 - Health care decisions being made in a manner which respects your personal faith					
		Total	Important	Somewhat important	Somewhat unimportant	Unimportant	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	32.3	27.0	16.7	22.8	1.1
	Atlantic Canada	100	32.8	22.7	21.4	23.1	.0
	Quebec	250	32.9	20.8	22.4	22.2	1.7
	Ontario	300	34.1	27.2	14.6	23.4	.8
	Prairies	200	31.0	33.7	11.9	21.5	1.8
	British Columbia	150	29.4	31.1	14.4	24.3	.8
Gender	Male	496	27.1	27.6	17.4	26.5	1.3
	Female	503	37.5	26.4	16.0	19.2	1.0
Age	18 to 29	205	30.4	26.8	12.3	29.7	.8
	30 to 39	169	27.7	30.3	17.8	22.6	1.6
	40 to 49	208	35.5	30.0	18.9	15.1	.5
	50 to 59	178	30.7	24.8	17.1	25.4	2.0
	60 plus	239	35.7	23.9	17.4	21.8	1.1
Language	Official languages only	802	33.8	26.3	16.1	22.5	1.2
	Allophone	181	25.7	29.9	20.3	23.2	.9
	Refusal	16	32.3	29.4	5.0	33.3	.0

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

		Question 6 - Health care decisions being made in a manner which respects the advice of your health care providers					
		Total	Important	Somewhat important	Somewhat unimportant	Unimportant	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	52.7	39.7	5.8	1.1	.7
	Atlantic Canada	100	64.3	30.1	4.0	1.6	.0
	Quebec	250	53.0	40.8	4.9	.3	1.0
	Ontario	300	55.4	36.4	6.5	1.2	.4
	Prairies	200	51.0	40.1	6.5	1.3	1.0
	British Columbia	150	41.1	50.6	5.9	1.7	.8
Gender	Male	496	49.0	44.1	5.1	1.6	.2
	Female	503	56.3	35.4	6.4	.6	1.2
Age	18 to 29	205	58.1	34.5	6.7	.8	.0
	30 to 39	169	49.9	44.4	3.4	1.5	.7
	40 to 49	208	49.2	40.8	7.9	.6	1.5
	50 to 59	178	52.3	39.0	6.8	1.1	.7
	60 plus	239	53.2	40.5	4.1	1.5	.6
Language	Official languages only	802	53.0	39.7	5.8	.8	.8
	Allophone	181	51.8	39.2	5.9	2.5	.6
	Refusal	16	45.6	49.2	5.2	.0	.0

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

		Question 7 - Health care decisions being made in a manner which respects your family's culture					
		Total	Important	Somewhat important	Somewhat unimportant	Unimportant	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	29.2	33.2	18.0	18.2	1.4
	Atlantic Canada	100	28.3	28.7	23.6	19.4	.0
	Quebec	250	26.7	34.0	19.2	18.0	2.0
	Ontario	300	30.3	30.7	20.3	18.1	.5
	Prairies	200	27.3	36.4	16.5	16.9	2.8
	British Columbia	150	34.2	35.6	9.4	19.6	1.2
Gender	Male	496	25.7	34.6	19.7	18.9	1.1
	Female	503	32.6	31.9	16.2	17.5	1.7
Age	18 to 29	205	28.4	31.0	17.9	21.9	.8
	30 to 39	169	24.9	38.9	15.8	16.9	3.4
	40 to 49	208	31.7	32.5	16.9	17.3	1.5
	50 to 59	178	28.8	36.1	16.3	18.4	.4
	60 plus	239	31.0	29.5	21.6	16.7	1.2
Language	Official languages only	802	28.5	32.1	18.5	19.5	1.3
	Allophone	181	33.3	38.7	15.5	11.5	.9
	Refusal	16	16.1	25.8	19.3	28.1	10.7

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

		Question 8 - Do you think Canadians have too much, the right amount, or not enough personal control over health decisions when one is nearing death				
		Total	Too much	The right amount	Not enough	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	1.2	32.6	54.4	11.8
	Atlantic Canada	100	3.8	34.9	54.4	6.9
	Quebec	250	1.2	35.3	52.7	10.8
	Ontario	300	1.5	34.3	50.3	13.9
	Prairies	200	.3	29.0	57.1	13.6
	British Columbia	150	.0	28.0	61.9	10.0
Gender	Male	496	.6	34.7	51.8	12.9
	Female	503	1.7	30.5	57.0	10.8
Age	18 to 29	205	1.4	34.8	49.0	14.8
	30 to 39	169	3.7	31.0	50.2	15.1
	40 to 49	208	.0	30.5	56.5	13.0
	50 to 59	178	.3	31.1	60.3	8.3
	60 plus	239	.9	34.7	55.9	8.5
	Language	Official languages only	802	1.0	31.9	55.4
	Allophone	181	2.2	36.4	50.1	11.4
	Refusal	16	.0	25.9	54.4	19.8

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

		Question 9 - Cultural groups in one's community						
		Total	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	3.5	11.0	24.7	12.8	4.7	43.3
	Atlantic Canada	100	1.6	14.3	29.9	12.5	2.8	38.8
	Quebec	250	5.4	11.9	23.4	9.4	5.9	44.0
	Ontario	300	3.5	8.1	22.9	15.5	4.3	45.6
	Prairies	200	3.0	12.5	24.6	14.9	5.5	39.5
	British Columbia	150	2.3	10.8	27.2	10.6	3.8	45.3
Gender	Male	496	3.7	10.6	29.4	12.5	3.3	40.6
	Female	503	3.3	11.3	20.1	13.1	6.2	45.9
Age	18 to 29	205	.8	9.4	28.5	10.1	4.2	47.1
	30 to 39	169	4.3	7.7	17.8	21.0	8.0	41.1
	40 to 49	208	6.1	12.0	23.7	9.6	4.4	44.2
	50 to 59	178	2.5	9.9	25.2	12.8	4.7	44.9
	60 plus	239	3.8	14.6	26.9	12.2	3.1	39.5
Language	Official languages only	802	3.9	11.6	23.9	9.9	3.7	47.1
	Allophone	181	2.2	7.7	27.8	26.0	9.3	27.0
	Refusal	16	.0	19.0	29.4	9.8	5.2	36.6

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

www.nanosresearch.com



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

		Question 10 - Faith groups in one's community						
		Total	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	4.5	15.3	25.5	8.8	3.8	42.1
	Atlantic Canada	100	3.7	17.5	25.8	10.3	3.4	39.2
	Quebec	250	5.6	12.4	23.1	8.8	5.9	44.1
	Ontario	300	5.0	15.4	24.6	8.7	2.9	43.5
	Prairies	200	4.2	18.1	29.6	9.7	4.2	34.2
	British Columbia	150	2.6	14.8	25.3	6.8	1.8	48.7
Gender	Male	496	4.5	15.9	28.2	7.7	3.0	40.7
	Female	503	4.5	14.7	22.8	9.8	4.5	43.6
Age	18 to 29	205	1.9	13.5	25.1	8.8	4.2	46.5
	30 to 39	169	6.2	15.1	21.3	12.1	4.5	40.7
	40 to 49	208	5.7	15.4	24.6	8.7	3.1	42.4
	50 to 59	178	3.7	11.9	28.2	6.8	4.7	44.6
	60 plus	239	5.0	19.4	27.4	8.0	2.9	37.2
Language	Official languages only	802	4.1	15.8	24.0	9.0	4.2	42.9
	Allophone	181	6.8	12.6	31.3	7.8	1.5	40.1
	Refusal	16	.0	19.0	35.2	9.8	10.2	25.9

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2015-652 – CARDUS – STAT SHEET

		Question 11 - Local community groups						
		Total	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor	Unsure
		Responses	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Region	Canada 2015-02	1000	4.6	13.9	27.0	10.2	3.6	40.6
	Atlantic Canada	100	5.1	13.7	27.7	12.2	2.8	38.6
	Quebec	250	7.0	14.8	24.6	9.3	4.0	40.3
	Ontario	300	5.0	12.5	24.5	11.5	3.6	42.8
	Prairies	200	1.7	12.2	32.8	11.6	4.5	37.2
	British Columbia	150	3.7	17.7	27.7	5.9	2.2	42.8
Gender	Male	496	4.0	13.8	30.7	8.8	3.1	39.6
	Female	503	5.3	14.1	23.3	11.5	4.1	41.7
Age	18 to 29	205	1.6	11.7	28.6	5.7	3.4	49.1
	30 to 39	169	5.1	11.5	22.9	11.5	5.5	43.5
	40 to 49	208	6.2	16.2	25.8	11.8	3.1	37.0
	50 to 59	178	3.5	14.1	28.8	11.8	2.9	38.8
	60 plus	239	6.4	15.5	28.3	10.5	3.5	35.9
Language	Official languages only	802	4.9	14.1	24.9	10.2	3.7	42.2
	Allophone	181	3.8	12.9	35.1	11.0	3.1	34.1
	Refusal	16	.0	19.0	39.2	.0	5.2	36.6

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February 22nd to 27th, 2015, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.